

Dear inspectors,

Finland violates human rights for children-fathers. The law is intentionally misinterpreted by authorities. Discrimination of fatherhood is common in the “country of equality”.

When our Association receives calls from dozens of suffering people **it becomes clear that equality only works in one direction. For the benefit of mothers. Fathers are put aside from the lives of their children. Children are actively alienated.** Social work and court is biased. You can complain, but it leads to nothing. In child policy there are no objectives, guidance, monitoring.

**Sometimes even the authorities are involved in the alienation of the child from their own parents. Social work dictates the so-called “supreme truth”.** The arbitrariness and alienation by social workers is the most serious violation of fundamental and human rights in Finland. **Methods are non-transparent and against laws.** Decisions what happens to children-fathers is recorded behind their backs. Social workers word becomes a “guiding truth” for judges even when there is no expertise and discrimination is intentional.

**Social worker and judges fabricate history, current state and future with documents.**

The law is not respected and controls do not work. **Espoo is most illegal city.**

**The fault is often not in the child or the parents, but in not getting help at all.** No doctor. No psychology or therapy. On top of that child system and lawyers discriminate fathers.

**Families have been profiled and examined by methods that should not exist - Marak, Seri, etc.,** which are often used without the person even knowing about it. Police can be brought in and doctors. It is then decided, for example, to isolate father (or mother) from his/her children. The truth may be that the alienator has made false statements about the victim parent she hates. Sometimes the decisions are right and for a reason. However, it often seems that the reasons are fabricated.

It is common for families to be left alone in a way that **preventive care is not available.**

**Alienation is booming and child protection is helping mothers. 1/3 of divorced children are made completely fatherless.** Another 1/3 of divorced children rarely see father - 1-4 nights a month. Later, the situation enter into crisis. The child is taken into municipal custody, where Finland leads the world's statistics. This is because the **state pays too much contribution money to the municipalities on the basis of custody. It is reason why municipal not invest in preventive care and parental support.** "Silent human rights crisis".

**Recently, children have even been taken into custody by private companies in the middle of the day without reason.** The municipality wants to increase their budget and has profiled suitable poor families and children in advance. When child vacancies become available, **the company will pick up the children with the police. Intentional fabrication of documents against parents begins.** Eventually, through often forged documents and statements, the children have been alienated by authorities and made to believe that there is a fault in the family. The custody starts. **Politicians and government in Finland are hiding this corruption.** Some of them e.g. Paavo Väyrynen has become rich in the custody business.

We are very concerned about fundamental and human rights of fathers and the right of children to grow empathetically, guided by the father, wherever the mother. Shift housing is not supported, but is violated. **Finland is violating EN statement 2079, the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child and children are often alienated altogether. The Council of Europe stipulates that the authority must ensure the life of child with the two parents. It is not obeyed.**

**We therefore demand appointments and corrective action.** It is also a serious reading of the underlying causes of psychiatric surveys (anxiety) among 20-30% of young. Traumas, fatherlessness, alienation, mental neglect for thousands.

**Huge inequalities are revealed in interviews. City of Espoo is the worst due to they separate children from parents.** They help only one home, which alienates children from the other legal parent. **Documents are falsified into the court** so that the fathers fail. Later in single custody, Espoo takes care of the children. Almost 200 complaints are made about Espoo every year. **We made 11 requests for investigation to the police 1 year ago, but they have not been properly investigated. Espoo government knows the crimes but began to conceal them.** The media is instructed not to write.

The tangle of crimes is complex. It is the result not only of money and poor management, but also of a **decline in the value of the family, the desire to alienate by women, children's Internet/game addictions, but above all the broken model of child protection and justice.** The key reasons are related to the **ideological system**, which has been criticized by legal scholars, such as J P Roos and M. Rimpelä Finnish social policy.

Human rights discrimination reasons start in early childhood. **A particularly feminine way of seeing gender roles and the position of fathers after divorce.** Studies show distance of parents to children causes anxiety in young people. In previous society, there was no addictive Internet services. Alienation and games exposes youth to sleep disorders. Social life and friendships suffer. The young become depressed.

**A healthy child needs two empathetic parents and a lot of attention.** To grow up healthy, a child or young person needs fatherhood, but equally rules, boundaries, and a positive role model. An alienator is unable to provide these.

**The divorces research fact is 2/3 of a young person's connection to another parent drops dramatically.** It exposes children to anxiety, a weaker social position through different mechanisms, and depresses when a parent who has previously taught and helps in their daily lives is "played out" violently.

**Problem is related to social workers poor family profiling and suspicion. For that family does not receive any therapy help. But the authorities dictate. Even laws are being broken. Let's make a Marak (isolate parent) using toxic methodologies against real interest of family and children. Jari Sinkkonen's social attachment theory has led to misuse.** It is an ideology that has been cultivated by lobbyists of the children's abuse "help" business. In real life, nothing can fix the love and care of a biological parent. Unlike as a child, fatherless psychiatrist Sinkkonen has tried to make Finland believe through his child business.

Studies tell same about custody - young people are traumatic and do poorly in different areas of their lives. For some reason, politicians fell in love with this blind model. The reason is ROI. The model believes that the child may fall in love with a social worker or a supervisor. Think of yourself as a child and if you were deprived of your own parents without the opportunity to live with them anymore.

**There are regulations, but they are poorly applied or not applied at all.**

**The control authorities just “forget your case” and nothing happens to correct crimes.**

**For this we analysed of a discrimination survey of just under 100 people in Finland,  
which we did in the autumn of 2021 by the Association.**

When a family encounters a divorce, childminder is first met. Then Follo mediators and judges. 90% of cases are resolved. Roughly 10% goes to court.

Especially fathers say that the prevailing female-dominated model is "You dad gets to see the kids 2-3 days once every 2 weeks. Even mentally ill moms get the “power and the money.”

Council of Europe Resolution 2079 calls on the authorities to secure TWO HOMES for a child. UN Convention on the Rights of the Child and Human Rights as well, but alienators can continue mental violence to kids. Also the ECHR has condemned the Finnish authorities for manipulating children. Gender racism lives. The racism is the silent female-dominated silence that much of the responsible self is played out by supervisors and mothers of aggression are given power even if they hurt children. Men and children are committing suicides.

### **Then to the results:**

When evaluating the authorities, **85% of respondents gave the worst possible rating of "poor"** when authorities consider themselves as "best" (blind). Responsibilities and laws are violated. Development is prohibited. Human rights are secondary.

When asking about **marginalization of young** (the official figure is around 60,000) but that is hardly enough. For example, 30% anxiety according to the new 2021 social surveys, so from 600,000 young people it can be making nearly 200,000 cases. Only 20,000 receive proper help through therapy or psychiatry. That's only 3%.

**It was also surprising that court function received even worse rating than child protection.** Roughly speaking, dissatisfaction still went even.

**After it we asked how well the social worker home studies were experienced. Only about 17% thought the results were good.**

**When we asked what were shortcomings in the reports** of social authorities, horrible discrimination and the neglect of the best interests of children began to open up. **Authorities record things incorrectly into documents. It is reason for poor decisions,** the lack of help for children and the distortion of justice. EU data protection is being violated.

What errors were seen question in the study, was most frightening part. It reveals that the **acclaimed "best of the world image of the Finnish legal system" is not true at all.**

Satisfaction with civil rights were low. The majority of respondents considered the judges to be of a low standard, biased, the evidence disparaged (drowned) and decisions very bad.

**Doctor Anja Hannuniemi's dissertation says the same: "Children were often given to a disturbed parent" rather than to a healthier and more amicable one. It tells its harsh language that judges decide things by putting the kinder parent to loose. An aggressive alienating parent is very often chosen as winner. This is the beginning of the worsening of children's illness and exclusion.**

**Thus, the authorities, through their own actions, weaken families, discriminate against kind and better parents.**

**If a parent communicates an emergency on behalf of children, it is turned against him.** Scary attitude. It is appalling how the court does not understand empathy/responsibility.

**Emeritus doctor Erkki Aurejärvi says same: "Judges are just people. They do not get acquainted and often use uncontrolled arbitrariness even against evidence in the courtroom."**

Perhaps the **most shocking result** of legal and child discrimination is that **the majority of parents experienced discrimination in court and 83% said the outcome of the court is completely wrong.** This is clearly in line with the other research results.

**A Finnish judge does not want or know how to understand, for example, mental health or the best interests of the child at two homes.**

**International agreements are despised and even the decisions of the ECHR are not complied with. Judges remarkably violate the new 2019 Custody and Appointments Act.**

**Likewise, they do not intervene in mental violence against children or alienation.** Not many penalty payments are imposed. Police is not investigating and the state level legal ombudsman (EOA or OKV) is not helping in crimes.

**Alienation should be criminalized. This is because it is the most serious possible violence against a child and traumatizes for the rest of your life.** This has not been agreed to in 2012, despite a 142/200 vote in Parliament. **One may ask why not? We believe that women's organizations and the advocacy business lobbied in the background. Disputes are too lucrative. Mothers own children and money.** If the brutal years of violence against children are accepted, then it is a truly hurtful justice and social policy. Absolutely wrong. Criminalization would certainly prevent much of the violence against the child. Excuses have been put forward - including the fact that punishing alienation adds contradiction.

Organizations and psychiatrists confirm that a child may never recover from losing another parent. Yes, such must be prevented and condemned. Like all violence. Above all, alienators should receive involuntary treatment unless the series ends. And secondly, for justice and kids mental healing - alienated children should be placed with a distant parent soon who is then turned to a near parent.

Clinics for the evaluation of alienation are needed in Finland (for methods, i.e. ILA guide), and respect for families must be restored. Therapy should be given to TWO HOMES and not to one.

Also **Nordic Discrimination Survey** published in 2021 expresses just as serious concern.



Many doubted that the authorities would decide on the big guidelines before the courtroom. Therefore, the proof is minimized. Some lawyers confirm this.

- **Aggressive legal assistants** and clients benefit (lazy judges?).
- **40% of all respondents said they had experienced mental abuse during the trial.**
- Compared to **fathers 60% experienced the same.**
- **The 85-87% losing rate for fathers** says the same thing. These prove that structural discrimination is true.
- **As many as 80% of the Nordic respondents were disappointed and said "I need more legal rights"**, which means that there is distrust in judges.
- **2/3 of the respondents suffered a significant or even total loss of confidence in the authorities.** Belief in the ability of the court to make decisions correctly collapsed.
- **These are not isolated cases. It is a systemic intentional way. Discriminatory processes.** An authoritarian case in which the best interests of the child are an excuse for arbitrariness on the part of the authorities. Imagine a situation that would feel like your own child is being alienated for 2-3 years and no one is helping. Is something wrong? We are not saying that it is always a mother or a social activity that alienates. Sometimes it can also be a father who does not control himself and takes revenge. The role of the father is still as important as that of motherhood.
- **Personal relationship matters. Social worker was heard too much.** Evidence should be looked.
- **The way of thinking that a child tells the truth after being manipulated for a long time violates the logic of a normal-minded person.** No child tells the truth when he or she is affected. **It violates the ECHR manipulation and age sentences for Finland.**

**EN 2079 family protection is not observed.**

- The challenge was when asked: "The court must not stigmatize asking / presenting evidence because the **judges are retaliating**" is a culture that lawyers warn.
- **There is no right of appeal. The court hardly changes even the obvious wrong.**
- **Judicial control is almost zero.** No responsibility. The wrong obvious decisions are never corrected.
- **Gaslighting, greed and power games prevail.** This is embarrassing, of course, but the authorities need to acknowledge it and start correcting the attitude.
- Almost without exception, **respondents felt that there was "no legal protection"**.  
Child & human rights are intentionally violated.

**Fathers and children are victims of mental violence by authorities.** Even in cases there is clear evidence against mother. **It is quite common to want to silence and hide even aggravating evidence.** We organizations ask what "magic law" application is prevalent in Finland? The Lamanites evade their leadership and legal responsibilities.

**What does it say about the state of the legal culture that we who investigate and develop are being silenced by organizations that report serious child abuse and arbitrariness?** Nobody answers. No one real control for judges.

Finland cannot be a country where the authorities or an unhealthy aggressive parent are allowed to abduct and alienate children without penalty. It is a crime and worse than normal violence. A healthy country can not pretend that child protection, lawyers and doctors in business will benefit when children are sold as purchasing services.

Silence cannot ignore questions why Finland does not comply with ECHR and international agreements. The protection of children by the authorities and municipalities is an ideology, too much pseudo-methods, which cannot stand a scientific or examination of child's benefits.

It is about money + a derogatory doctrine that pushes children into insecurity by breaking families and puts fathers in an unequal position.

Studies show that custody children are poorly able to become adults. Depression and the need for psychiatry are due to breaks in parenting. It is often almost impossible for parents to get proactive help for their children. The money is available for foster care because the state funding model for municipalities is broken and rewards custody.

Service providers know how to get money and municipalities through branding. In research at the universities of Tampere and Jyväskylä, several professors admit that underestimating families and taking children away from their parents produces traumatic children.

**The importance of fatherhood and two parents for the well-being of a child has been downplayed in Finnish social policy.** J. P. Roos, Matti Rimpelä, Anja Hannuniemi, Sanna Koulu, Milja Sormunen, Julia Korkman, Mansnerus, Tuomas Kurttila, as well as several respected researchers, e.g. Malin Bergström, Edward Kruk say.

Finland or Espoo cannot ignore science. Problems are due to culture and lack of control. Good social policy is preventive criminal policy. Essential part of a honest democracy.

BR: Timo Jalava +358452628125